

Australian Backgammon Federation Rated Match Policy

Contents

Acknowledgements.....	1
Introduction	1
Key Principles	2
Affiliation.....	2
Club Eligibility.....	2
Local Policy.....	3
Historic Results.....	3
Player Identity.....	3
Responsibilities	4
Players.....	4
Managers	4
Site Supervisors.....	4
Corrections and Appeals.....	4
Un-played or Incomplete Matches	5
Review.....	5
Appendix 1 - Sample Local Policies.....	6
Backgammon ACT	6

Acknowledgements

The Australian Backgammon Federation (ABF) would like to thank the UK Backgammon Federation who have kindly allowed the use of their policy as a template for ours. We also appreciate the work of Tony Lezard, who has created and maintains the software that runs the results site.

Introduction

The ABF maintains a history of qualifying backgammon matches, which is used to calculate a national rating using an Elo formula.

Ratings are intended to be a realistic comparative assessment of a player's current skill at backgammon relative to other players in Australia.

The purpose of this policy is to establish ground rules that maximise the quality of the match records database, in order to derive confidence in the ABF rating as a fair and respected measure of backgammon prowess.

This document sets out the criteria for results of backgammon matches to be entered into the ABF match log for ratings calculation purposes.

Key Principles

Ratings are determined solely by the history of reported eligible results and the Elo formula¹.

A rated match must take place under the auspices of a backgammon society, club, organisation, or event (for brevity, hereinafter referred to as a “Club”) that is affiliated with the ABF.

Affiliated Clubs must publish and abide by a local policy for inclusion into the national rating. The policy must guarantee that inclusion of a match into the ratings is determined in a transparent manner that is fair to all players.

The match must only be submitted for inclusion in the ratings if it meets the criteria established in the local policy.

If a situation arises where a match could be considered to have been associated with more than one Club, it will not be counted twice. Responsibility for avoiding double-reporting falls primarily to the individual players.

Affiliation

A Club is an entity that hosts face-to-face backgammon matches on a regular basis. (At least annually would be expected.) It could for example be a traditional backgammon society, or a regular (weekly) tournament, or other backgammon competition with its own prizes that additionally feeds results into the ratings system.

Affiliation is a status granted to a Club by the ABF. The policy and procedure for granting or withdrawing affiliation is outside the scope of this document; it may involve agreeing to abide by a set of principles, payment of a subscription, or some other set of requirements. In the absence of any overriding policy, it will, for the purposes of this policy, simply be taken to mean that the Club has been recognised by the ABF Board as a valid source of rateable results.

Club Eligibility

A Club may submit results to the national rating if all of the following apply:

- It is affiliated with the ABF
- It has published a local policy for eligibility of its results (see below)
- There is a named manager or administrator (“Manager”) with a working email address who acts as the point of contact for the Club, and who is accountable for the accurate entry of results. The Manager must also handle any queries about the results including inaccurate or incomplete result entry, or potential duplicate names.

Inclusion of a Club’s matches into the ratings system should be with the support of the general membership of the Club. This policy does not define how that support should be established.

A Club may cease to be a provider of match results for various reasons including that:

- It becomes defunct
- Its leadership withdraws affiliation from the ABF

¹ A useful explanation may be found at <https://results.ausbgf.org.au/explain-ratings>

- The ABF removes affiliated status from the Club in accordance with its affiliation policy (not defined here) In such circumstances, previously entered results will remain on the system, unless they (or a subset thereof) are deemed unreliable in the sole opinion of the ABF.

Local Policy

The local Club policy is of fundamental importance in ensuring that results are fair and representative. It must exist in a written form, and be known to, and supported by, the membership of the Club.

Details of the local policy will be dependent on the specifics of the Club to which it pertains, but is required to adhere to the following principles:

- The Manager must record results fairly and completely. Any form of selective reporting of results, including at a player's request, must be forbidden
- The policy must establish clear rules for eligibility of a match. Members must know, or be able to deduce from the policy, whether their match will form part of the national record before the first roll of the dice
- The policy will not allow exceptions due to a player being ignorant of it
- Only matches played as part of an organised club event (eg a tournament) may count towards the ratings
- Players must not be able to select their opponents, in order to prevent gaming of the ratings system
- Use of artificial aids beyond those required for scorekeeping are prohibited. This will generally preclude online matches from permissibility due to the ubiquity of automatic pipcount display in online clients
- The ABF Rules should generally apply to matches

A sample Local Policy is provided at Appendix 1.

Historic Results

When a Club becomes eligible to submit results to the national ratings, its previous results, if recorded reliably (including match date) and in accordance with the agreed policy, may also be eligible for entry. It should be noted that mass uploads that this clause can permit have the potential to cause a sudden change to national ratings. No matches earlier than 1 January 2018 are eligible.

Player Identity

It is of fundamental importance that all of an individual player's matches be recorded under the same name in the ratings database. Lack of care over naming of players has the potential to be a significant source of error in the ratings table. Managers and players need to be on the lookout for players who have already been registered with a slightly different name (Robert/Bob/Rob etc) and, more rarely, the converse problem of distinct individuals with the same name.

Site supervisors can merge separate records that have been ascertained as belonging to the same person, but unmerging the results of two players is fundamentally much harder and may be impossible. It is therefore important that managers establish the correctness of a merge with confidence before submitting a request for the players to be merged. They should ascertain the facts of the case with the individual(s) involved and determine which version of their name they wish to use.

Managers or their delegates should not submit results where the player cannot be clearly identified, for example, where only a first name has been provided. It is permissible to do this only if they intend to correct the entry in very short order. The ABF Board is entitled to discard the match results of vaguely named players.

If a player does not want their name to appear in the ratings table, they are not entitled to request that their matches not be recorded, as this would count as selective reporting, unfair to their opponents. Instead they should use an alias in a consistent manner. Aliases and real names should be made known to the ABF, which will maintain a confidential register of these for the purpose of eliminating duplicate player records. This should be an exceptional occurrence however.

Responsibilities

Players

- Report the outcome of all matches played according to the local policy to the Manager
- Ensure each match they play is reported for ratings purposes to only one Manager
- Highlight errors and omissions to the Manager (including possible duplicate names)

Managers

- Enter results accurately and completely in the match database in accordance with local policy. A variety of mechanisms are provided on the results site to facilitate this. Care should be taken to ensure that the date of the match is correctly recorded, and the sequence of the results is in chronological order. Accurate timestamps within the date are not required
- Keep their email address up to date on the system
- Respond to members' queries, or refer them to the ABF, in a timely fashion
- Report possible duplicate name issues to the site supervisors and assist site supervisors in determining the appropriate resolution
- Be alert to the possibility of double-reporting
- Delegate capabilities on the site to other Club members as required to assist fulfilment of the above roles

Site Supervisors

Supervisors are appointed by the ABF Board and have special access on the site which transcends Club-specific access. They are responsible for:

- Managing the Club list on the site, including assigning passwords and appropriate privileges to new Managers
- Investigating cases of duplicate names on the system and merging results under the player's preferred name
- Providing reasonable assistance and guidance to Managers
- Proactively scanning the player list from time to time for potential duplicate or vague names and bringing this to the attention of Managers for resolution
- General site maintenance

Corrections and Appeals

If a player believes a result has been entered incorrectly, out of sequence, or not at all, they should refer the matter to the Manager, who will consider the appeal and if necessary amend the national record and cause the ratings calculation to be recomputed from the point of change.

A player may also have grounds to challenge the validity of the local policy, for example if they do not feel it adheres to the principles above, or is vague on the validity of a result in a particular circumstance. In the first instance, they should attempt to resolve the issue with the local Club directly. If they are still unsatisfied, they may bring an appeal to the ABF, which may determine that the policy needs to be amended or clarified. The updated policy should settle the matter of whether the disputed result should be included in the national ratings. Such updated policy may potentially also change the validity or eligibility of other matches.

If an individual believes the Manager is not following the Club's own policy, or is aware of any other irregularity in the results reporting process, they should bring this to the attention of the ABF Board, which will investigate the matter and work with the individual(s) and Manager(s) concerned in order to resolve the issue. In extreme cases, the ABF reserves the right to withdraw eligibility of the Club from the ratings system and/or remove any or all results submitted by that Club.

Un-played or Incomplete Matches

There may be situations where a match that is eligible for inclusion in the ratings is not played in full or at all. Examples include:

- Matches abandoned for some reason before completion
- Matches where a player has incurred penalty points or forfeited due to lateness
- Tournament finals resolved by cash settlement
- No-show by one or both players, for any reason

This policy will not attempt to specify a ruling on every possible scenario, except to highlight the fundamental principle that the rating is intended to measure ability at backgammon and not any other quality in a player, such as sportsmanship, which should be addressed by local Club rules. Hence, for example, a match in which some play has occurred but in which a few penalty points have also been awarded would normally be considered rateable, while a match which is forfeited, whether or not by the gradual accumulation of penalty points, without the dice ever having been rolled would not be rated.

Managers must not "punish" a player with a ratings hit, but equally, players must not attempt to manipulate their rating by walking away from unfavourable situations.

Managers and players may refer difficult cases to the ABF for a ruling, which will be final.

Review

This policy will be reviewed in July 2019 and focus on the initial 12-month experience of Australia Clubs.

Appendix 1 - Sample Local Policies

Backgammon ACT

All completed tournament matches played according to the official random draw at Backgammon ACT Club meetings (post 1 July 2018) will contribute to individual players' Australian Backgammon Federation ratings. The tournament rules published on the Backgammon ACT website shall be applied, and players will adhere to the normally accepted rules of backgammon. The general principles published by the Australian Backgammon Federation in their Rated Match Policy will also apply. Historical ratings will also be maintained for the foreseeable future in a separate Excel spreadsheet.

In exceptional circumstances, and only with the agreement of the Tournament Manager, finalists may agree to a reduction in match length, but this must be accurately reported.

Whilst the initial draw is random, any remaining places are filled on a first come-first served basis, with the *proviso* that players still "alive" in a tournament are not eligible for a buyback. Where more than two players are ready to take spare positions (including buybacks) then these will be allocated randomly. New tournaments will be started where appropriate and necessary to provide the maximum number of players with a tournament entry.

A match in which penalty points have been awarded will be rated unless it becomes a walkover. Settled matches, unfinished matches, walkovers and friendlies will not be rated.

The term 'friendlies' includes any match which is not part of the normal tournament schedule, even if it is played during a club meeting. Chouette matches will not be recorded.

Postponed or unfinished matches should be completed as soon as possible by arrangement between the players concerned and the result reported by both players.